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Report Date:20/02/2019

1. Agenda Item 7, Issues A, C5, D, H, I and L
2. APT Preliminary Views and/or APT Views for the modification of draft CPM Report (which was submitted to CPM19-2) on the Agenda Item

Issue A (Non-GSO BIU):

It was submitted 2 proposals/modifications by the APT (See **Revision 2 to Addendum 3 to Document CPM19-2/14-E**), on the Draft CPM Report of the Issue A, as the follows.

The proposal to delete the tolerance of orbit parameters of non-GSO satellites received some questions from other administrations (Such as CAN & LUX) and further discussion can be expected.

The proposal to delete some frequency bands and services from milestone based approach received no comments from other administrations.

Issue C5, D, H, I and L:

APT did not submit any contribution relating to Issues C5, D, H, I and I to the CPM19-2.

1. Topics proposed by other regional Groups or ITU Members which are not included in no. 2 above

Issue A (Non-GSO BIU):

150 MHz and 400 MHz bands are proposed for milestone based approach and will be discussed.

Issue C5:MOD to No. 11.46 and reminder for six-month resubmission

A one contribution No. 86 was submitted to the CPM19-2 by Korea. Korea made an additional modification on the 11.46 saying that The Bureau shall make available the resubmitted notice within 30 days of receipt on the ITU website in accordance with Resolution **55 (Rev. WRC‑15)**.     (WRC‑19)

After proposal by Iran and necessary discussion during the SWG 3d, it was agreed that the following additional sentence in yellow color, to be replaced with the above text in turquoise:

The Bureau shall reflect within 30 days of receipt of the resubmission in the ITU Website, as appropriate.

Issue D: BR identification of coordination requirements

A one contribution No.168(ASMG) was submitted by the ASMG. The ASMG provides modifications (highlighted in turquoise) to the draft CPM text for the purpose of deleting Methods D1 and D3, while keeping Method D2 as the only method for this agenda item. These modifications are proposed as it is shown throughout the studies conducted in Working Party 4A that Method D2 is the main method that would satisfy this agenda item.

I, as the APT coordinator, disagreed with this proposal, I said The APT still did not make appropriate decision to support either Method D2 or D3. Because some APT members support Method D2 and some others support Method D3 and therefore I requested to keep those MethodsD2 and D3, for time being.

Issue H, I and L:

Two contributions from USA (36) and CAN (51) were submitted to the CPM19-2 Meeting both contributions propose to consolidate those Non-GSO Appendix 4 elements.

For Example, See the following proposal for the combination of the title of these Issues:

Issues H, I and L – Modifications to RR Appendix 4 data items to be provided for non-geostationary satellite systems not subject to the procedures of Section II of RR Article 9, non-geostationary satellite systems with multiple orbital planes and required for RR Article 22 epfd verification after revision of Recommendation ITU-R S.1503

To this effect, an informal DG was established on AI 7 Issues H, I, and L, as Mr.Jack chirman of the SWG 3d suggested.

That DG met one time, and achieved consensus on one Appendix 4 data item A.4.b.1.a.

That DG have to resolve differences in other data items including the item description and the indicators in the columns. There is consensus on most items. Hopefully these will be resolved easily.

1. Progress of discussion during CPM19-2 on the Agenda Item

Issue A: Introduction of the input documents, except from ASMG, was completed.

Issue C5, D: These two issues are done, as explained in above.

Issues H, I and L: Appropriate consolidation Issues H, I and L and also a combination CPM text are still ongoing and expected to be done by the DG Group. I will inform you about the progress of that DG.

1. Issues which require discussion at APG Coordination meeting and seek guidance thereafter

As you heard except for Issue A and combinations of Issues H, I and L, the rest of Issues (Issues C5, D) are done. Therefore, it is no need to be reported those Issues in the future APT Coordinator Meetings.

Informal consultation or seek guidance relating to the Issue A may be required in the next APT Coordination Meetings.

*Note: Coordinators are encouraged to conduct informal consultation with interested APT Members on the issues/topics under no. 3 and inform the outcomes of consultation to the Coordination Meeting*.