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1. Agenda Item 10, *“to recommend to the Council items for inclusion in the agenda for the next WRC, and to give its views on the preliminary agenda for the subsequent conference and on possible agenda items for future conferences, in accordance with Article 7 of the Convention.”*

Revisions to Resolution 86 (REV.WRC-07) Implementation of Resolution 86 (Rev. Marrakesh, 2002) of the Plenipotentiary Conference

1. APT Common Proposals and APT Views for WRC-19 (which has been submitted to WRC-19).

Refer to Document 24/A24-A1.

1. Topics proposed by other regional Groups or ITU Members which are not included in no. 2 above.

Refer to Document 86, submitted to the conference by the Administrations of Cameroon, Djibouti, Egypt, UAE and Jordan

1. Progress of discussion during WRC-19 on the Agenda Item

An input contribution regarding changes to Resolution 86 to limit consideration of issues under Agenda Item 7 to the penultimate meeting of the Responsible Group (i.e. the penultimate meeting of ITU-R WP4A) was submitted to the conference by the African nations mentioned above.  This document was attributed to Committee 5 and attributed to SWG5B1 (Chaired by Jack Wengrynuik).

This document is similar in sentiment to that expressed by the APT Common Proposal on Resolution 86 (document 24/A24-A1/4-5) that has been attributed to Committee 6 and to be considered under WG6B.  As confirmed by the Chair of SWG5B1 in offline discussions this attribution was intentional.

The key difference between the African document and the APT document is that with respect to encouraging limiting consideration of Agenda Item 7 issues to those that have been studied in the ITU-R, the African document has an "invites ITU-R" whereas the APT document is a *"resolves to invite future world radiocommunication conferences"* .

APT proposals regarding Resolution 86 will be discussed in DG 6B2 (room Siwa –Summit). DT/49 will be discussed in WG6B which is the summary of discussion on the African document in WG5B.

Note that other administrations and regional groups (CEPT, RCC, CITEL) were also attempting to reasonably limit the number of issues to be considered under Agenda Item 7 to those that had adequate time to be studied prior to the CPM and introduced changes to ITU-R Resolution 2-8. This text reads as follows:

*“A1.2.6 Responsible groups are encouraged to identify new topics for study to be considered under the standing agenda item in accordance with Resolution****86 (Rev.WRC-07)*** *(currently agenda item 7) not later than their penultimate meeting prior to the second session of the CPM in order to provide the ITU Members sufficient time to prepare contributions for the second session.”*

In contrast the APT document addresses broader issues but focusses on the work of the WRC. Key proposals included below:

*“that recommended agendas for future WRCs should include a standing agenda item which would allow for consideration of any proposals which deal with deficiencies and improvements in the advance publication, coordination, notification and recording procedures of the Radio Regulations for frequency assignments pertaining to space services which have either been identified by the Board and included in the Rules of Procedure or which have been identified by administrations or by the Radiocommunication Bureau, as appropriate;*

*2 to consider only those issues which have been identified under the WRC standing agenda item mentioned in resolves 1 and which have been studied by the ITU-R and included in the CPM Report,*

invites administrations

*1 to raise any new issues not included in the CPM Report under the WRC standing agenda item mentioned in resolves 1 for possible further consideration in the next study cycle.”*

1. Issues which require discussion at APT Coordination Meetings and seek guidance thereafter

The APT proposals can be seen as being complimentary to the changes made in ITU-R Resolution 2-8, however, the proposed changes could also be seen as not being necessary:

1. Agenda Item 7 is essentially already a standing agenda item
2. The changes made to ITU-R Resolution 2-8 at the Radiocommunication Assembly essentially covers the objective of the APT proposal.

Guidance is requested on whether to pursue changes proposed to Resolution 86 given the above developments in the Radiocommunication Assembly.